Title: Location selection criteria for military base in border region using N AHP method

First of all, we would like to thank you very much for your valuable ideas that will make our work better.

1. Abstract needs to modify: the abstract should contain Objectives, Methods/Analysis, Findings, and Novelty/Improvement.

The abstract was revised to include Objective, Methods and Results.

2. Some assumptions are stated in various sections. Justifications should be provided on these assumptions. Evaluation on how they will affect the results should be made.

The assumptions stated in various sections have been tried to be justified and evaluations have been made regarding their relationship with the study.

3. This raises some concerns regarding the potential overlap with authors previous works. The authors should explicitly state the novel contribution of this work, the similarities and the differences of this work with their previous publications.

The authors mentioned the contributions of this study to the literature in the introduction section before the text organization and in various parts of the text. The authors have no studies on military facilities using these methods.

4. Please add as sentence or two to clearly recap how your study differs from what has already been done in literature to ascertain the contributions more strongly.

The difference of our study from those existing in the literature has been added to the study.

5. Authors can use bullet points to show the main novelties of this paper.

Bullet points were used because they contribute to the literature of the article.

6. Authors should clarify the structure of the remainder sections of the paper at the end of the introduction section.

The organization of the article is mentioned at the end of the introduction.
7. The review of the literature needs more updating with works to have a clear and concise state-of-the-art analysis. This should more clearly show the knowledge gaps identified and link them to the paper's goals. The major defect of this study is the debate or argument is not clearly stated. You may see these articles and follow in the revised version. The relevant reference may be of interest to the author according to below: https://doi.org/10.61356/j.nswa.2023.32; https://doi.org/10.61356/j.nswa.2023.74; https://doi.org/10.61356/j.nswa.2023.75

The literature was reviewed. By adding other recent studies, it is explained why the study is necessary and what exactly was done in the study. Information gaps were filled and associated with the article objectives.

8. A section can be added to review the literature.

Studies in the literature have been added to the relevant sections by making the necessary associations.

9. The authors applied the N-AHP technique for the evaluation of their study, why AHP, more justification is needed.

The reasons why N-AHP was applied, why AHP was expanded, and the reasons for using N-AHP rather than any other method were added to different parts of the study.

10. The framework for the proposed methodology and its detailed steps are not provided.

The gaps in the methodology have been eliminated, but the method has been detailed by avoiding steps that would make the study unnecessarily long. For example, how to make pairwise comparisons was shown on only two items; for the others, calculations were made and only the results were transferred to the study.

11. Numerical Results requires significant revision to ensure that it presents clear interpretation of the results.

The result obtained by interpreting the numerical results: Ease of logistics access is the most important criterion determined in the selection of a military base location in the border region by the N-AHP method.

12. Discuss the practical implications and potential future research directions. In particular, clarify the limitations of this manuscript.
The results obtained in the study and related issues that can be done in the future are given. The limitations of the method are mentioned.

13. Conclusions from the analysis presented paper are too general. In order to make the conclusion section more clear, authors need to include the point-by-point findings of this article.

The results are customized. Findings have been added with bullet points to write the conclusion more clearly.

14. The conclusion section has not mentioned the research limitations and some suggestions for future studies.

The limitations of the research were mentioned and suggestions for future research were added.

15. Please use the journal template properly.

The template of the journal was used.